Our observations suggest that ice shelves close to the climatic limit for existence may disintegrate rapidly. During the next years, increased attention should be paid to the section of the LIS south of Seal Nunataks, which may be subject to major changes if the warming continues. In November 1994, we observed a transverse rift $\sim 50 \mathrm{~km}$ in length in section $1, \sim 30 \mathrm{~km}$ inland from the ice front.
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Many biologically important processes involving DNA are accompanied by deformations of the double helix, and the ability of DNA to stretch "like a spiral spring in tension" (1, p. 739) was recognized long ago (1-3). The mechanics of DNA has regained interest in recent years as a result of the possibility of working with individual mole-

[^0]cules. The extension of a duplex DNA molecule under the action of an external force was measured by Smith et al. (4) and compared to predictions of the wormlike chain model (5). In good agreement with this theory, these researchers observed that a force of 2 to 3 pN is able to stretch the DNA to $90 \%$ of its contour length at rest in the B-form, $l_{0}$, and that the force then rises sharply when the extension approaches $l_{0}$. This experiment was restricted to forces smaller than 20 to 30 pN , whereas it has been suggested that DNA is able to withstand about 500 pN before breaking (6). We present here a study of the force-extension response of a single duplex DNA molecule submitted to forces ranging from 10 to 160 pN , using an apparatus (Fig. 1) that improves on that developed by Kishino and Yanagida to study the actin-myosin interaction (7).

We repeated our experiment many times using different fibers and stretching velocities (a few seconds was typically required for stretching). Two types of curves were ob-
tained. The first was a simple and monotonic profile with one plateau followed by a steep drop in force (Fig. 2A). The other type of curve was complex and irreproducible with several plateaus (Fig. 2B). We performed the experiment with a variable number of DNA molecules grafted on one bead. High grafting densities led to complex, irreproducible curves, whereas the simple profiles were mostly encountered with a low grafting density of about one to two DNA molecules per bead. Such profiles were reproducible typically to within $<10 \mathrm{pN}$ and $<2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ between different experiments, irrespective of the pulling velocity, and by repeated stretching during a single experiment. We therefore attribute the simple profile to a single DNA molecule and the complex ones to multiple grafting.

The DNA was able to stretch to at least 1.7 times its B-form contour length $l_{0}$ (Fig. 2A). This observation is in agreement with that of Bensimon et al. (6), who reported extensions as large as $2.1\left(l_{0}\right)$ under the action of a receding meniscus. These results are also in agreement with those of Smith et al., who reported a 1.85 times extension of DNA pulled between two pipettes (8).

Our most important result is the presence of a plateau where the DNA molecule stretches at almost constant force; this finding appears to agree with preliminary results of Smith et al. (8) obtained by manipulating DNA with optical tweezers, although more detailed evidence will be needed to confirm this point. Because the plateau begins close to the fully extended length of the B form, we interpret it as a tension-induced structural transition. Qualitatively, this process is a reversible transformation of bases from the B form to a stretched structure (hereafter termed $S$ ), which is complete at the end of the plateau.

Further insight into this transition can be gained with the use of a simplified representation of DNA as a chain of elements (nucleotide pairs) with two states: a short one with length $l_{1}$ (B-DNA) and a long one with length $l_{2}$ (S-DNA), with an energy difference $(\Delta E)$ between the states. $\omega$ is the nearest neighbor interaction between adjacent $B$ and $S$ elements and determines the energy for inserting an S-form element within a B-form section. A similar two-state model has already been proposed to describe the helix-coil transition of polypeptides and has been solved exactly (9). The force can be represented as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=\Delta E / \Delta l+(k T N / \Delta l) \ln [(\beta+2 y / \Delta l) / \\
(\beta-2 y / \Delta l)] \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
$\beta=\sqrt{1-[1-\exp (+\omega / k T)]\left[1-(2 y / \Delta l)^{2}\right]}$

Fig. 1. Experimental apparatus. The force sensor is a monomode optical fiber placed in the experimental cuvette and held vertically with the use of a rigid tube to avoid meniscus effects at the liquid surface. A covering layer of polydimethylsiloxane (molecular weight, 2000) was used to avoid water evaporation. We adjusted the stiffness of the fiber $\left(10^{-2}\right.$ to $\left.10^{-4} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}\right)$ by choosing its length and its diameter, using controlled chemical degradation of its outer layer. It was calibrated by a measurement of bending during uniform translation in water solution (17). The optical fiber was
 fed by a laser diode (Power Technology, 7 mW ), and the motion of its tip, once amplified by a modified inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiovert), was detected by a position-sensitive photo-diode (Silicon Detector). A displacement resolution of about 10 nm was obtained. The DNA molecule is attached specifically at one end to the fiber and at the other to a microbead (18). The bead was caught and maintained at the tip of a rigid micropipette by creating a weak drop in pressure. The micropipette was then driven away from the fiber by a computer-controlled piezo-translator stage (PI Instruments), and the fiber deflection was recorded (National Instrument software, Labview). The coupling between the displacement of the pipette and the bending of the fiber was principally due to the linked DNA molecule, although a weak contribution ( $<20 \mathrm{pN}$ ) from hydrodynamic backflow was present for large pipette velocities. When necessary, we subtracted this perturbation by performing a blank experiment with an identical pipette displacement, after deliberately breaking the DNA link.

Fig. 2. (A) Two examples of force versus extension profiles for EMBL3 $\lambda$ DNA (18) [contour length, $15.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}(16)$ ] in phosphate-buffered solution ( $100 \mathrm{mM} ; 80 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}$ and $0.01 \%$ Tween) obtained with different fibers and using pulling velocities of 1 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (symbols " 0 " and " + ," respectively). For clarity, only a subset of data is plotted. The first $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of the displacement is not represented because it is indistinguishable from background noise (19). By repeatedly pulling the pipette to distances up to $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and returning it to its starting position, the same curve could be followed within an experimental error of $<2 \mathrm{pN}$. In contrast, the abrupt drop in force could be observed only once for a given molecule, and subsequent tractions lead only to a very weak displacement of the fiber because of the hydrodynamic backflow of the pipette. The accuracy of the backflow correction in the + curve above was verified by comparison with the o curve obtained by slow pulling and without correction. We associate the irreversible event with the rupture of the DNA-fiber or the DNA-bead links because the force at rupture is smaller than the force required to break duplex DNA (6) and is similar to the force recently reported for the rupture of a biotin-avidin association (20). The full line shows the best fit obtained with Eq. 1 for $\omega=-16.6 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ per base pair, $\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)=1.96 \pm 0.2 \AA$, and $\Delta E=$ $8.4 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ per base pair. (B) Force versus extension profile obtained when several DNAs were grafted between the bead and the fiber [all other conditions were identical to those in (A)). The complexity of the curve arises from the fact that the molecules were not grafted at the same posi-
 tion and therefore did not, in general, undergo stretching or rupture for the same bead displacement. In contrast with the simple curves obtained with a single DNA molecule (A), such curves could not be not reproduced when the pipette was pulled repeatedly. (C) Force of stretching derived from a polynomial fit to the deformation energy from modeling (see Fig. 4). The extent of the plateau is consistent with the observations in (A). The force at the plateau ( 240 pN ) was obtained by stretching with the total twist per turn held constant. If the twist is allowed to vary, the force drops to 140 pN (note that the biochemical design of the experiment, in principle, allows DNA to rotate freely at one end during stretching, so that complementary experiments with the two ends torsionally blocked will be interesting). Exact agreement with experiment cannot be expected because of the simplified representation of DNA and its environment-notably involving imposed helical symmetry, regular base sequences, and the absence of thermal agitation.
where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature in kelvin, $y=x / N-\left(l_{1}+\right.$ $\left.l_{2}\right) / 2, N$ is the number of elements (nucleotide pairs), $\Delta l=\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)$, and $x$ is the extension of the chain (in micrometers). Poor fits are obtained for $\omega \rightarrow 0$. Taking $\omega$ equal to $-16.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ per base pair, which disfavors isolated S-form or B-form elements and implies a cooperative transition, leads to an excellent fit (10) (see full line in Fig. 2A).

If, as suggested above, the plateau is the result of a DNA conformational transition, a drastic change could be expected in the presence of intercalating agents. The transition indeed disappears in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of ethidium bromide (Fig. 3). At the present stage, one can note the following: First, the rise of the force with extension is smoother than shown in Fig. 2A, both before or after the plateau. This may


Fig. 3. Force versus extension curve in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of ethidium bromide at a pulling rate of $10 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, other conditions being identical to those of Fig. 2A. The relative length is again defined with respect to the $B$-form contour length (15.1 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).
be a result of exchange of the intercalator during the stretching process. Second, the force rises at a larger value of the extension than without an intercalator, in agreement with the well-known lengthening and unwinding of DNA induced by intercalating agents and with earlier observations by Smith et al. (4).

Molecular modeling of the DNA stretching process, performed with the program JUMNA (Fig. 4), also leads to a plateau in the force-displacement curve (Fig. 2C). The structure of the $S$ form, modeled by stretching the ends of one strand of the duplex (compatible with our experiment here), suggests that extension involves a reduction in helical diameter and a strong base pair inclination that maintains both base stacking and pairing until a relative length of 2.0. This finding correlates with early spectroscopic studies by Fraser and Fraser on stretched DNA fibers (11). The strong base inclination induced by stretching suggests an explanation for the cooperative nature of the transition, because discontinuities in inclination would imply a loss of base stacking or would require DNA kinking.

The 1.6 times extension of DNA at the end of the force plateau is close enough to the extension induced by RecA fixation $(12,13)$ to speculate on the biological importance of an extended form of isolated DNA. The purpose of extending and unwinding DNA, in the case of $\operatorname{Rec} A$, is to facilitate the formation of a triplex (14), which is a putative intermediate during recombination. A pre-extended DNA form may be an intermediate step in such triplex formation. The role of RecA might thus be

Fig. 4. DNA stretching was modeled with use of the JUMNA molecular mechanics program developed for studying nucleic acid conformations (21-23). An all-atom force field is used, and efficient minimization is allowed by a reduced variable representation involving helicoidal and internal variables (bond rotations and valence angles). Solvent and counterion effects are represented by a distance-dependent dielectric function and reduced phosphate charges. An infinite DNA polymer was studied with the use of helical symmetry constraints and a repeat of 10 nucleotide pairs. Stretching involved minimizing the energy per turn of the polymer as a function of the length of one of its strands (imposed with a quadratic distance constraint between C5' and C3' atoms separated by 10 nucleotides). Results are presented for an alternating AT sequence. The figure shows space-filling graphics of the relaxed linear DNA (left) and DNA stretched by a factor of 1.7 (right). The elongated DNA is characterized by a strong base pair inclination, a narrow minor groove, and a diameter roughly 30\% less than that of B-DNA. The base pairs, which are exposed on the major groove side of the double helix, are still bound by a single hydrogen bond, and strong interstrand stacking between adenines can be seen. This conformational change occurs progressively and cooperatively during stretching. Modeling, however, indicates that the final conformation and the energetics of stretching depend both on base sequence and on which strand termini are tethered during stretching.

to induce such a transition by means of specific interactions between the protein and the extended form.
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